COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE

ENGLISH EDITION

For Theory and Discussion

CONTENTS:

THE PERMANENT CRISIS:

Henryk Grossmann's Interpretation of Marx's Theory of Capitalist Accumulation.

Upton Sinclair on the road to Fascism?

The Uprising of the Dutch Unemployed in Amsterdam.

French Trotskyites go over enmass to the Socialist Party.

The Spanish Class Struggle.

NOVEMBER, 1934 - No. 1

UNITED WORKER'S PARTY

AN APCLOGY.

In the first issue of Council Correspondence we announced that this issue would be devoted to the "Thesis on Bolshevism", a series of ten articles prepared jointly by the Group of International Communists of Holland. We are sorry to say that difficulties encountered in the translation of the thesis forces us to postpone this material to the next issue, number three - available Dec. I. 1934.

AN EXPLANATION. In March 1934, the United Workers' Party published the pamphlet "World-Wide Fascism or World Revolution?" - the Manifesto and Program of the Party. This manifesto made a very general and brief analysis of the most important questions with no attempt to take up any subject in detail. It was hoped that subsequent booklets would treat each subject more fully, and cover other subjects not possible in the first pamphlet. The pamphlet "Bolshevism or Communism?" followed soon after, and took up the question of the role of the Party and the leaders in the revolutionary movement. The feature article in Council Correspondence #I, "What is Communism", helps to explain our attitude to the USSR. The main article in this issue elaborates the economic analysis in the manifesto. A popular pamphlet now gone to pressentitled, "What Next For The American Worker?" will also deal with questions not given much space in the first booklet. Future issues of this paper, as well as the contemplated monthly journal "Living Marxiem", to be published by the United Workers' Party in collaboration with the European groups, will take up in detail for discussion all the questions and problems of vital import to the Revolutionary Proletariat.

For Theory And Discussion INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE

English Edition PUBLISHED BY
UNITED WORKERS PARTY
1604 N CALIFORNIA AVE
CHICAGO ILLINOIS

77 NOV. 1934

NO. 2 10

THE PERMANENT CRISIS'

Henryk Grossmann's Interpretation of Marx's Theory of Capitalist Accumulation

According to Mark, the development of the productive forces of society is the motive power of historical development. In acquiring new productive forces men change their mode of production, and in changing their mode of production, their manner of gaining a living, they change all their social relations. The transformation of the spinning wheel, the hand-low and blacksmiths sledge, into the self-tending mule, the power-loom and the steam hanner was not only accompanied by a change of the small, individual shope of the craftsmen into huge industrial plants employing thousands of workers, but there also came with it the social overturn from feudalism to capitalism; that is, not merely a material revolution, but a cultural revolution as well.

Capital ism as an economic system had the historical mission of developing the productive forces of society to a much greater extent than was possible under any previous system. The motive force in the development of the productive forces in capitalism is the race for profit. But fpr that very reason this process of development car continue only as long as it is profitable. From this point of view, capital becomes a barrier to the continuous development of the productive forces as soon as that development comes into conflict with the necessity for profit. "Then the monopoly of capital becomes a fetter upon the mode of production which has sprung up and flourished along with, and under it. Centralization of the means of production and socialization of labor at last reach a point where they become incompatible with their capitalist integument".

Marx always considers the economic laws of motion from two points of view: first, as "a process of natural history": second, in its specific, social form. The development of productive forces went on in every social system, a process consisting of an ever increasing productivity of labor due to better working tools and methods. The productive process under capitalism, in addition to producing the necessities of life, also produces value and surplus value, and it is only due to this fact that capitalism has been able to acceler-

Nov. 1934

ate the development of the productive forces so tremendously. They are not only machines, raw material and labor-power, but also capital. The development of the instruments of production means the expanding of production and reproduction of capital, and this is only possible when surplus value or profit is the result of the productive process of capital. By analysis of the precess of producing surplus value, Marx finds the tendency of a conflict between the material preductive forces and their capitalist integument. When insufficient surplus value results from production, if capital cannot be "utilized", there is no pessibility of continuing the development of the productive forces. The capital istic forms must burst asunder to make place for a higher, more advanced, economic and social system.

In the capital ist system wage labor is necessary for the production of surplus value. In buying labor power, the capital ist acquires the right to use it for his own benefit. By his labor, the worker is able to produce a greater value than he consumes, i.e.-he produces more value than the capital ist pays him in form of wages. Since the capitalist buys labor-power at its exchange value, and has full control of its use value, the result is the creation of surplus value out of which he takes a part for additional capital, for accumulation, pays interest to the banker and rent to the landlerd, allows the merchant his commercial prefit, and retains the rest for his own consumption.

All commodities have in common the quality of being products of labor; they are measured and exchanged in preportion to the socially necessary labor time incorporated in them; this includes also the commodity labor power. The development of the preductive ferces means increased productivity of labor, and increased productivity means less labor incorporated in each commodity, or less value, and consequently less surplusvalue. This decrease in the value of one single commedity can only be compensated by the increase of the quantitles of commodities produced, which means an increase in the exploitation of labor. This is done by two mainmethods: by lengthening the working day ("absolute surplus value"), or by shortening the labor time necessary for reproducing the wages of the workers ("relative surplus value"). If the lengthening of the working day is impossible, then there only remains the shortening of the necessary labor time which can only be done by decreasing the value of labor power. The decrease in the value of commedities is the only means of reducing the value of labor power, but this in its turn can only be the result of increased productivity. This process is, at the same time, an accelerator forcing technical development at an ever increasing tempo towards mass production and gigantic and costly machinery, concentrated in huge industrial plants, eliminating individual and small capitalists in favor of big capitalists and corporations.

Since wage labor is the seurce of his profit, the capitalist should be interested in exploiting as many workers as possible. The more workers, the mere surplus labor and value, the more profit. But it is nevertheless a fact that from the very beginning of the capitalist epoch, the number of workers employed relative to the capital employed, has been falling. Even if their number has absolutely increased for a period, they have increased more slowly than capital

has accumulated. Today the number of workers employed has fallen, not only relatively, but absolutely. (Since 1918 the number of those employed in American industry has continuously decreased though production increaced until 1929.) Increased productivity coupled with the process of concentration of capital thus results in a constantly growing mass of commodities produced by fewer and fewer workers. increased production, in increased unemployment. This fact, in the face of the capitalist urgent need for more extensive exploitation, indicates the limits of capitalist production. The more exploitation is intensified, the faster these limits are reached. "The same circumstances which have increased the productive power of labor, augmented the mass of produced commodities, expanded the markets, accelerated the accumulation of capital, both as concerns its mass and value, and lowered the rate of profit. these same circumstances have also created a relative over-population and continue to create it all the time, on over-population of laborers who are not employed by the surplus capital on account of the low degree of exploitation at which they might employed, or at least on account of the low rate of profit which they would yield with the given rate of exploitation, "

The law of value is, according to Mark, the regulator of the production of commedities and determines in what proportion the work of society is distributed, but this only holds good for society as a whole, not for individual capitalist units. In reality the law of value is only enforced through the competition of individual enterprises; actual exchange of commodities does not take place according to value, but according to price of production. If one capital ist sells above value, another capitalist sells below. Competition, which resulta in the establishment of the average rate of profit, also established the law of value as the final and general law which underlies the sum total of individual transactions at the prices of production.

Without this, the rate of profit would differ from one branch of production to another according to the rate of surplus value, period of capital turn-over, and the organic composition of capital. The greater the rate of surplus value, the higher the rate of profit. (The rate of surplus value or exploitation is the surplus value divided by the capital invested in wages, - the variable capital. The rate of profit is the surplus value divided by the total capital including constant capital: - means of production, and variable capital.) The quicker the turn-over of capital, - i.e., the quicker the capitalist gets his capital outlay plus surplus value back, - the higher the rate of profit, and vise versa. The ratio between the means of production and labor power, expressed in value form as constant and variable capital, we call the organic composition of capital. The higher the organic composition, the lower the rate of profit.

As not only the rate of profit for individual capitals, but also the average rate of profit continuously sinks on account of the rise in the organic composition of capital, small capitals would be destroyed if they were unable to increase their capital sufficiently. The existence of the capital ist depends on a continuous increase of his capital by lowering production costs below normal. He strives to gain on extra profit by producing and selling his products over their individual but below their social value. Each capitalist has of necessity the same desire and so each capitalist must accumulate.

Nov. 1934.

If he stops reinvesting part of his surplus value in his enterprise, he runs the risk of his capital becoming valueless, if its #echnical form is falling behind the general development of the productive foroes. This fact results in again raising the organic composition and further lowering the rate of profit, and thereby hastens the tempo of development by stimulating the search for extra-profit. To resist would mean economic suicide for the capitalist.

To understand the action of the law of value and accumulation, we must first disregard these individual and external movements, and consider accumulation from the point of view of total capital, since the total social capital values and total prices are identical. "The most important factor in this inquiry is the composition of capital and the changes it undergoes in the course of the process of accumulation". In the capitalist mode of production, and in that alone, is the development of the preductive power not only expressed as a growth of means of production in order to have more results with less labor, (as it is expressed in all economic systems) but as a rise in the organic composition of capital, more constant capital, less vartable capital and a consequent falling rate of profit. "A fall in the rate of profit and a hastening of accumulation are insofar only different expressions of the same process as both of them indicate the development of the preductive power. Accumulation in its turn hastens the fall of the rate of profit, inasmuch as it implies the concentration of labor on a large scale and thereby a higher composition of capital".

The fall in the rate of profit is at the same time accompanied by an increase in the mass of profit as long as capital accumulates faster than the rate of profit falls. The sinking of the rate of profit and the growth of the mass of profit are therefore both caused by capitalist accumulation. At the same time the sinking rate of profit acts as an index to the relative fall of the mass of profit. When the accumulation of capital reaches a certain point, the mass of profit will fall not only relatively to the total capital invested, but also absolutely; a larger social capital will bring an absolutely smaller profit. But this point only appears at the end of a certain period of accumulation. Up to that point, "the same development of social productivity of labor expresses itself in the course of capital ist production on the one hand in a tendency to a progressive fall of the rate of profit, and on the other hand in a progressive increase of the absolute mass of the appropriated surplus value, or profit; so that in the whole, a relative decrease of variable capital and profit is accompanied by an absolute increase of both. "This is the characteristic expression of the progressive development of the productive power of labor under the capitalist mode of production.

The fall in the rate of profit has thrown bourgeois economy out of balance. For Marx "the falling rate of profit turns into an antagenism of this mode of production at a certain point and requires for its defeat periodical orisis." Accumulation and a higher organic composition of capital are identical. With it goes the fall of the rate of profit. With an organic composition (1:1) say 30 constant capital and 30 variable capital, and a rate of exploitation of 100%, the rate of profit will be 50%. With an organic composition (5:1) say 250 constant capital and 50 variable capital, and the same rate

of exploitation, the rate of profit will be 16.6%. (As stated before the rate of surplus value (here 100%) is determined by the proportio. between the menessary will the surplus labou time. But the rate of profit in surplie value divided by the total capital; i.e.-constant and variable both.) 'n apove grangie, both constant and variable capital is largueed. Not only is the scale of production expanded, but the runber of workers employed increased. We began with a low organ to composition (1:1) and end with a high (5:1). This is both a cause and expression of the inrecesed productivity of labor that also must be appreced in an increased rate of surplus value. We had a rate of surplus value of ICO%, but the increased productivity ghortens the Leaster labor time and increases the rave of surplus value, which O Table rate the fall of the rate of profit. If the rate of surplus value is increased from 100% to 300%, then even a high organic composition or papital (5:1) would yield the same rate of profit, that is 50%, as the low organic composition (1:1) with a rate of sumplus value of 100%. Besides this, through the increased productivity of labor, the rate of surplus value may also rise due to other causes and the reby compensate for the increase in the organic composition. We shall later in westighte this, but in whatever way this may be accomplished, the fact is that the falling rate of profit is accompanied by a rise in the mass of profit that counteracts the danger implied in a falling rate. But this growth of capital in turn implies a further fall in the rate of profit. Thus the sinking rate of profit creates further attempts to raise the surplus value as is actually the case.

Since at first the fall in the rate of profit is accompanied by an increase in the mass of profit, it is difficult to understand how the collapse of capitalism would result from the decline in the rate of profit, and what relation there is between periodic crisis and the falling rate of profit. An explanation of this connection has often been attempted, but all of those attempts have failed because in each case the explanation was based on, and limited to, the investigation of the falling rate of profit alone. Henryk Grossmann was the first to point out that the crisis and the final collapse must be explained not only by the falling rate of profit, the mere index of profit, but by the actual mass of profit underlying it. According to Marx, capitalist accumulation is determined not only by the rate of profit, but also by the mass of profit. In other words, the surplus value may absolutely increase, but it will nevertheless be insufficient for the needs of accumulation because the rising organic composition constantly swallows an ever greater part of surplus value.

Capital accumulation initiated a series of great booms interrupted by periodic crises. As he rate of accumulation grew, the intensity of the crises grew with it. The capitalist process of reproduction repeats itself, not in the form of a circle, but as a spiral, narrowing to a point. The production of values must, due to its inherent contradictions, lead to its own negation; but only the accumula tion of these contradictions can transform them into something quaitatively different: into refolution. The same laws which had at first constituted the motive force of a rapid development of capite. ism, now become the driving force of capitalist collapse, but his collapse, does not develop evenly and in a straight downward line. is continuously interrupted as capitalist reality modifies the gener al abstract law of capitalist accumulation. Marx elaborated no

special theory of crises, but his analysis of the laws of capitalist reproduction, or accumulation, was also a theory of orisis. Les us illustrate with an abstract table the law of capital ist reproduction.

In order that accumulation may be possible, the surplus value must be divided into three parts; one to be invested in additional constant capital, one in additional variable capital, and the remainder to be consumed by the capitalist class as individuals. During the rise of capitalism, variable capital grows as well as constant capital, only more slowly. We begin, in our table below, with an organio composition of 2:1. The constant capital grows at a yearly rate of 10%, the variable at 5%. The rate of surplus value remains 100%. (Constant capital we call C, variable Y. The consumption fund of the capital ists is R. AC is surplus value available for accumulation of constant capital; AV for variable. The value of the yearly product we call VYP; the percentage of surplus value consumed by the capitalists we call R%; the rate for accumulation A%, the rate of profit P%.

Vr C-	c V :	R :	AC : AV	: VYP	R%	A%.	1%	
1200,000/ 2220,000/ 3242,000/ 4266,000/	100,000/7	5,000/2	0,000/5,000	400,000	175.	25.	33.3	
2220,0004	105,000/7	7,750/2	2,000/5,250	1: 430,000	174.05	125.95	32.6	
3242, 000/	110,29048	0,539/2	4,200/5,511	: 462,50	0073.04	26.96	31.3	
4266,0004	115.762/8	3.374/2	26,600/5,788	: 497.5	472.02	127.95	130.3	

We see how in this table accumulation increases in spite of a falling rate of profit. Accumulation pays for the capitalists, for while their revenue becomes smaller relative to the surplus value es a whole, it increases absolutely. During the first year the capitalists command 75,000 as revenue (R); during the fourth year, 83,374.

This table is a fiction that should in no wise be mistaken for reality. A progressively higher organic composition accompanied by a constant rate of exploitation is an impossibility, may an absurdity. The table is only meant to illustrate the tendency of accumulation with no disturbing and complicating tendencies. Even with a constant rate of surplus value, accumulation can take place so much faster with an increased rate of exploitation. This table also reflects acoumulation only in its value-form, not expressed in the quantity of use values; to express it thus, would cause many modifications. The devaluation of capital necessarily connected with accumulation here has been disregarded.

If we, like Henryk Grossmann, extend this table to the 35th year, we shall be able to show if not actual capitalist accumulation, at least its "unner law". But to arrive at capitalist reality, we must in addition to basing ourselves on the inner law of capitalist acoundlation also take into account the elements disregarded in the illustrating table. It must be borne in mind, however, that the elemente iteraga ded in the table only determine the tempo of the process of accumulation, either hastening or slowing it down; but in either case the process remains essentially the same. Let us follow the table:

VI.	C :	V :	R :	AC :	AV :	VYP	Fc%	A%	P%
5	236.6071	21 5504	36.21 34	29.2604	6.077:	535,700	70.93	29,07	29.3
50 -	321,857-1	27,6274	39,050	32,186/	6,381:	577,114	59.70	30.30	28.4
21	1.5-4417 Ye	63.7654	17612/	1 144771	3.266:	1,727,634	14. 33	55.67	16.4
34	16414875	00, 304%	11141	464148/2	5,015:	1,875,127 5,642,097 6,156,275	0/45	99.55	9.7
35	51 (503/+5	25.3194	0 /	51 0563/1	4.756:	6,156,275	0.	104.61	9.3

The table shows that the same forces which at first made the rise of capitalism possible at a certain phase of accumulation lead to overaccumulation and its consequences. The constant capital that in the first year (first table) was 50% of the years production, demands in the 35th year (second table) 82.9%. The revenue (R) that until the 20th year only increased relative to the total mass of surplus value as shown by (R%) from then on decreased absolutely. In the 35th year it disappears completely. It is only bafter the 20th year that the fall in the rate of profit is first feit as an absolute fall in that part of the mass of profit which the capitalist class had at its disposal for its own private consumption. Until the 20th year, accumulation was a paying proposition as measured by the returns. From the Plat year, these returns dwindle down to a vanishing point. Wesides that, from the assumption made that the additional variable capital increases yearly 5%, AV has a deficit. Instead of the needed 26,265 in the 35th year, only 14,756 is available, leaving a deficit of 11,509. This deficit would represent the industrial reserve army as the inevitable outcome of the capitalist process of accumulation. The capital accumulated in the 35th year can't function completely. Because 11,509 workers cannot be employed, the whole additional constant capital (AV: 510,563) cannot be reinvested. On the basis of our assumption, a population of 551,548 in the 36th year would require a constant capital of 5,616,200; consequently by a population of 540,075 only 5,499,015 constant capital could be invested. There is a capital surplus of 117,185 that cannot be used. Insufficient capital "utilization" has led to over-accumulation. We have a surplus of capital unable to expand and an unusable surplus population. (The empirical researches, e.g. by W.C.Mitchel in the U.S.A. has shown that in time of economic expansion profit is uninterruptedly increasing, while a crisis is preceded by a decrease of profits.) Thus, increasing "utilization" of capital is the chief cause of capital accumulation, and the lack of a sufficient "utilization" of capital the cause of crisis.

The theoretical formulation of the theory of over-accumulation as here presented was first undertaken by Henryk Grossmann who considers his work as only a reconstruction of Marx's theory of accumulation which is the theory of crisis and collapse. According to Grossmann, if accumulation is to take place, the organic composition of capital must increase and then a relatively ever greater part of the surplus value must be taken for the purpose of the additional constant capital (AC). As long as the absolute mass of the total social capital of a low organic composition is small, the surplus value is relatively large, and leads to a rapid increase in accumulation. For example: by a compositio of 200 A / 100 V / 100 S (surplus value), the constant capital can (assuming the total surplus value to be used for the accumulation) be increased by 50% of its original size. At a higher stage of capital accumulation, with a considerably higher organic composition, s.g. 14,900 C / 100 V / 150 B the increased mass of surplus value is only sufficient, when used as additional capital (AC) for an increase of 1%.

By continued accumulation on the basis of an ever higher organic composition, a point must be reached when all accumulation ceases. Not every fragment of capital can be used for expansion of production. A definite minimum proportican is needed which grows continuously with the progressive accumulation of capital. Therefore, since in the development of capital accumulation, a not only absolutely but also

OV . I 7 4

Nov . 1934

relatively greater part of the mass of surplus value must be used for the purpose of accumulation. At a high stage of accumulation, where the total social capital is of huge size, the part of surplus value demanded for additional constant capital (AC) must become so great that it finally absorbs all of the surplus value. A point must come when the parts of surplus value to be used for additional workers and for capitalist consumption (AV and R) must decrease absolutely. This would be the turning point at which the previously latent tendency, to collapse begins to be active. It is now eviden: that conditions necessary for the progress of accumulation no longer can be met, that the mass of surplus value though grown absolutely is insufficient to take care of its three functions. If the additional constant capital (AC) is taken from the surplus value in negessary quantity, then the revenue at disposal is insufficient to take care of the consumption of workers and employers at the prevailing scale. A sharpened struggle betweem the working class and employers over the division of the revenue thus becomes inevitable. If, on the other hand, the capitalists by pressure from the workers are forced to maintain the wage scale and the part earmarked for ancumulation (AC) thus decreases, the tempo of accumulation slows down, - a...d the productive apparatus cannot be renewed and expanded to keep pace with technical progress. All further accumulation must, under such conditions, increase the difficulties, since for a given population the mass of surplus value can only be raised by a trifling amount. Surplus value flowing from the previously invested capita. must therefore lie fallow, and there arises a surplus of idle carital vainly looking for neasibilities of investment.

Thus accumulation is a process that inevitably leads to overproduction of capital, to ever increasing enemployment, to a surplus of CAPITAL UNABLE TO FUNCTION PROFITABLY, and an unuseable surplus population. And this is the final great contradiction of capital ist production that causes it to go to pieces. "The fact that the means of production and the productivity of labor increases more rapidly than the productive population, expresses itself, therefore, capitalistically in the inverse form that the laboring population always increase more rapidly than the conditions under which capital can employ this increase for its own self-expansion".

In the basis of this analysis of accumulation, the question no longer is whether the capitalist system will collapse, but rather why it has not already collapsed. We have hitherto followed the process of accumulation in a fictitious capitalism. Reality is different. The law of capitalist collapse as demonstrated by us functioned in a "oure" capitalism, - a capitalism that actually does not exist. In order to best illustrate the law of capitalist accumulation and consequences flowing therefrom, we have had to disregard the secondary fratures and tendencies that are characteristic of the real capitalism. For the purpose of our investigation up to now, they were quite irrelevant since they only obscure the inner law of the process of capital ist accumulation. Outside of the already mentioned simplifica ions, we dealt only with the process of production, disregarding milifications of accumulation by the process of circulation. Only aynamics of society as a whole interested us, so that we did not one ider the individual spheres of production, and disregarded comition and its modifying effect on the tempo of accumulation. In cr analysis of accumulation there was no foreign trade which from the point of view of capitalist production is of great importance.

We disregarded the middle class groups, and spoke fally of representant labor. There was in our analysis no credit problem. We diaregarded it as well as other important items that more or less modify the absolute law of accumulation. In short, our analysis of accumulation is based on a non-existent capitalism. All that we set out to do was to complete that by following the process of accumulation in such a 'Pu ownitalist system, the result would with mathematical certainty be the collapse of the system.

Since, in reality, there is no such "pure" capitalist system, it follows that the tendemoy to collapse does not operate in the above dascribed "pure" form. Instead, the "pure" tendency of capital ist accumulation is slowed down in its dizzy pace by counteracting tendenoter which also arise out of the capitalist development. The tendemay towards collapse which is expressed through crises is revertheless slowed down and temporarily halted by these very crises though they be the embryonic form of the final collapse; but the counter tendencies are essentially of a temporary character. They can postpone the collapse of the system. If the crisis is only an embryonic collapse, the final collapse of the capital ist system is nothing else our a crisis fully developed and undindered by any counter tendencies.

If the causes of crisis are over-accumulation which makes the "utilization" of capital impossible, then new means must be established to assure again the necessary capital "utilization" in order to end the crisis. According to Mark, a crisis is only a process of healing, a violent return to further profitable expansion; from the point of view of the capitalists, a "cleaning out". But after the "cleaning" with its series of capitalistic bankruptoies, and the starvation of the workers, the process of accountation is continued and after awhil: the "util ization" of capital again becomes insufficient. The selfexpansion stops as the accumulated capital again becomes too large on its new basis. The new crisis sets in. In this manner, the tendency towards collapse is broken up into a series of apparently independent cycles.

How Crisis Are Overcome.

III

The changing periods in the economic cycle may be longer or shorter, but their periodicity is a fact. It is furthermore a fact that the boom periods are always growing shorter, while the duration and intensity of the periods of crisis is increasing. This reveals the fact that the tendencies which serve to delay the collapse of capitalism, while being an integral part of capital accumulation, are nevertheless greatly weakened with every passing cycle; and the overcoming of crisas becomes an ever greater difficulty. The United States has passed through a series of industrial crises followed and preceded by boom periods. The crisis of 1837 was preceded by a feverish activity of construction. A nationwide network of reads were built. canals were constructed and steamphip straffic developed. Cigartic amounts of capital were imported, and a general optimistic anticipation of profits developed speculation. By the first sign of irsufficient profit-production, "business" flowed into speculation that then took the most bandit-like forms. A crisic shortly followed. To bourgeois economists, the orisis appeared to be caused by the "inpossibility of paying interest on borrowed capital, as the rate of

profit that could be yielded waw too small." The panic of IS57 was preceded by a period of intoxication due to the discovery of California gold, and the large railroad constructions aiding industrial development in general. Again prosperity was transformed into intensified speculation which is always the case when profits become small. The crisis was again explained by the problems of "interest". According to bourgeois conceptions, the railroads were constructed too "quickly", industry developed too "hastily", and it became impossible to pay interest on the money invested in industry. Capital half grown faster than the possibility of "utilizing" this growth. This was followed by the crisis of IS73, 1893, 1907, 1921, — to name only the most important.

In whatever manner these crisis were explained, each individual explanation suggested that profits were insufficient, that further expansion of industry was unprofitable and for that reason could not take place, so that each explanation, unconsciously it is true, gives over-accumulation as the cause of crises. But no one spoke about this as the insultable outcome of the capitalist process of accumulation; this fact was always disguised as "overproduction of commodities", "a too neavy burden of debts and inability to pay interest" The iall of prices, therefore, was accepted as the cause of crisis.

According to Mark, in times of orisis, the rate of profit and with it the demand for industrial capital almost disappears. There is no lack of purchasing power with which to expand production, out no use is made of this purchasing power because it does not buy to expand production of this purchasing power because it does not bring in more but less surplus value than on the previous scale. Though expansion of production has become unprofitable, production at first continues at its previous volume. By this continuation of production at its previous rate, each year, there is produced surplus value part of which is intended for accumulation, but without any chance for such application. Thereby the stock of unsold means of production, of unsold goods in general grows; cost of storing increases, plant equipment is unnecessarily tied up since there is no reflux through sales of commodities produced. The capitalist must at any cost sell, to obtain the means of continuing production at its previous scale. This leads to price outting and limited operations of factories. Enterprises go bankrupt; unemployment grows.

The capital st solution to this problem lies in the reestablishment of the "utilization" of capital. To do this, either the value of the constant capital must be decreased, or the surplus value increased. Both possibilities are found in the sphere of production as well as in the sphere of circulation. We shall deal here only with a few of the tendencies that overcome crises and delay the collapse of the system.

We said the capitalist always sees the fall of prices as the cause of origin. A rise in prices, consequently means to him the beginning of receivery Bourgeois economists claim that as prices fall, bankrupted increase proportionally, and they offer statistical demonstrations of this fact. According to them, price atability is a guarantse of social stability. But what they really show is only the increased productivity of labor expressed in prices. The bemoaning of lankruptoies only illustrates the process of capital concentration. In spite of this, bourgeois economists have always, in their super-

ficial manner pointed to the fall of prices as the cause of crises, and they still hold to this stupid explanation in face of the fact that in the U.S. since 1925 a boom period took place with falling prices. It is also a fact that the expansion of the productive apparatus takes place in times of depression when prices are low. Only when the demand created by that expansion exceeds supply will prices increase. Therefore, the rise in prices, if it takes place, which is not absolutely necessary, is the effect and not the cause of recovery. Nay, profitable operation must be made possible at the low price level before recovery can begin. This demands increased productivity of labor which again means higher organic compositions of capital, or the reproduction of the orisis on a higher plane.

Increased productivity is, besides other things, a process of concentration and centralization accompanied by amalgamation of industrial units and general rationalization. So that the crises, even though they are accompanied by "overproduction" are always overcome, in spite of that, by a further expansion of production. That this leads to increased laying off of workers first relative to capital employed, later also absolutely does not alter its necessity. Statistics show that in periods of upswing in the United States those bankruptoies which occurred involved small enterprises, and that while these bankruptoies increased, trusts made superprofits in spite of falling prices. Trustification made larger profits at lower prices possible, while the small enterprises cutside this movement of "rationalization" succumbed. Prof. Eitemanna writes:

"The low prices which had prevailed during the depression of 1873, encouraged the introduction of labor-saving devices by industry in order to cut cost of manufacture. This search for cheaper methods of production continued even after the return of prosperity, and resulted in a steady downward trend of prices".

The increased productivity of labor, and the thereby relatively diminished cost of constant capital makes the "utilization" of capital again possible. This tendency is apparent during the present orisis. Reports like the following are not infrequent:

"General Electric's new power plant of \$4,000,000 will be ready for operation mext spring. According to the estimates of the engineers, the plant will produce steam and kilowatt hours of energy at a lower ocet than has ever before attained".

At the same time that the "Merchant Fleet Corporation" allowed 124 ships of approximately one million tons to be destroyed, the construction of 20 million tons of new ships are planned even though "overproduction" leaves a great number of these ships idle in port. In the crisis, in spite of "overproduction", the apparatus of production instead of becoming restricted has been enlarged. Nevertheless, previous crises have passed. The crisis, then, is not a restriction of the real apparatus of production, but a breakdown of an accepted system of prices and values, and its reorganization on a new level.

According to Marx, the tendency in the fall of the rate of profit is accompanied by an increase in the rate of surplus value, or in the rate of exploitation of labor. By the development of the productive

forces, commodities are made cheaper. Insofar as this happens to commodities consumed by the workers, the elements of variable capital modities consumed by the workers, the elements of variable capital are made cheaper. The value of labor power sinks and rate of exploitation increases. The same effect is gained by intensifying laber by technical rationalization and by more pitiless modes of speed-up, or technical rationalization and by more pitiless modes of speed-up, or by lengthening the working day. One of the most important means is by lengthening the working day. One of the most important means is by the forcing of wages below the value of labor power by taking advantage of the growing army of unemployed during a crisis. The lower rantage of the growing army of unemployed during a crisis. The lowering of wages below value has already become a "basis" of existence ing of wages below value has already become a "basis" of existence ing of whole system. The ridiculous conception that by increasing for the whole system. The ridiculous conception that by increasing the purchasing power of labor the crisis can be overcome always and still is answered by capitalism reducing that purchasing power still further. It is exactly in this manner, by wage cutting, that capitalism tries to overcome the crisis. Thus, the "Commercial and Financial Chronicle" writes:

"The manufacturer is no longer able to produce goods at a profit, and accordingly he stops producing at all and as a consequence, here of wage earners find themselves idle and out of employment. If the President could be induced to prevail upon the wage earners to adjust wages to a lower basis, one more nearly in accord with the times, trade depression would soon become a thing of the past."

Statistics, for example those of the U.S. Steel Corporation, show that crisis and increasing exploitation run parallel.

Aug. 1,1918 - - 10% increase in wages
Cct. 1,1918 - - 8 hour basis day adopted
Feb. 1,1920 - - 10% increase in wages
May 16,1921 - - 20% decrease
June 6,1921 - - basic 8 hour day abolished
Aug.29,1921 - - decrease to 30 cent hourly
Sept.1,1922 - - 20% increase
April 16,1923 - 11% "
Cct. 1,1931 - - 10% decrease

The crisis of 1921 destroyed the previously adopted 8 hour day and led to sharp wage cutting. In 1931 this was repeated. The intensification of exploitation is one of the strongest tendencies work ingainst capital ist collapse.

The shortening of the time of capital turn-over is also a force acting against collapse. The main means for accomplishing this, outside of increased productivity, are better and more direct means of communications, especially transport, and diminuation of stock in storage, etc. Furthermore, an increase in use-values at the same exchange value, and the founding of new spheres of production with lower organic composition weakens the tendency towards the collapse since these branches of production yield exceptionally high profits. As the capitalist class cannot dispose of the appropriated surplus talue clone, but must divide it with the middle class groups, the crisis is always the beginning of an intensified fight between these groups in the form of a fight of "actual" producers against ground tent commercial profits, and all other "parasitical" elements. In theret, a fight of industrial capitalists against all other capital-

ists and the middle-class groups who exploit labor indirectly thru the industrial ists.

An important element in re-establishing profitable operations is the devaluation of capital. This devaluation is expressed by the same amount of means of production being represented at a smaller value. The tooknical composition (M.P.:L) remains; the organic composition (C.V.) sinks. The mass of surplus value remains the same, but as it is now calculated on a smaller capital basis, the rate of profit in rinem. In practice, devaluation takes the place of sale at ruincus prices. Crisis and capitalist wars are gigantic devaluations of constant capital by violent destruction of value as well of use value forming its material basis.

By ever drawing in new foreign use-values, capitalist production is expanded and the tendency towards collapse weakered. The importing of cheap foodstuffs lowers the value of labor power and increases the race of surplus value proportionally. By the furnishing of cheap the race of surplus value proportionally. By the furnishing of cheap the race of profit increased. This is why the struggle for sources or raw materials contributes one of the main objectives of international capitalist politics. Through the tendency of the equalization of profits, the more highly developed countries can appropriate part of the surplus value created to the less developed countries. This extra profit counteracts the cinking of the rate of profit. By foreign trade, the movement towards colleges is slowed down, and as this, with the development of accumulation, becomes a matter of life and death to the capitalist system, it heads imperialist expansion to become more and more violemt.

The international character of crisis develops with foreign trade. The same factor also leads to the development of world monopolies, yet even though so much cepital has been accumulated that further accumulation, though mobessary, is unprofitable, a collapse of the system need not follow as long as sufficient capital in form of foreign loans and investments can find a new and satisfactory basis for "utilization". This makes the export of capital characteristic of "utilization". This makes the export of capital characteristic of imperialism. All these elements, comentrated in imperialism, are remedies against the insufficiency of profits. The final consequence of imperialism is the political annexation of foreign territories, so that the securing of an additional stream of surplus value, helps to postpome capital ist collapse. As the progress of accumulation makes the threat of collapse more imminent, the imperialist tendencies are proportionally strengthened.

IV Permanent Crisis.

We have previously shown that the Marxist theory of accumulation is the law of the collapse of the capital ist system. We have further demoistrated that this law is veroome by counter-tendencies for certain periods. But these counter-tendencies are themselves everoome in the course of development or lose their effect through evanceum-unation. Rationalization becomes failing rationalization. Accordantically or merging of industrial units, is made unfavorable by the deal weight of closed down units. Wage cutting and intermities expendently be paid below their cost of reproduction. Dead and starving workers

Nov.1934

produces ne surplus value. The shortening of the time of capital turn-over has its limit beyond which it breaks the continuity of production and circulation. Even if commercial profits were eliminated altogether, the sinking of the rate of prefit would still continue. Foreign trade as a counter-tendency eliminates itself by turn ing capital-importing countries into capital-exporting countries by forcing their industrial development through a het house growth. As the force of the counter-tendencies is stopped, the tendency of capitalist collapse is left in control, Then we have the permanent crisis, or the death crisis of capitalism. The only means left for the continued existence of capitalism is then the permanent, absclute and general pauperization of the proletariat.

In previous orises it has been possible to regain sufficient capital "utilization" without permanent outting of real wages. Marx said: "in the measure as capital accumulates, the situation of the workers, whatever its pay, high or low, must become worse". All statistics available show that accumulation and pauperization of the workers are two sides of the same process. But in the period of the rise of capitalism only a relative, but not necessarily absolute, pauperization of the workers took place. This fact formed the basis for reformism. Only when the proletariat must necessarily be absolutely pauperized are objective conditions ripe for a real revolutionary

If, instead of misleading ourselves by the actual increase of nominal wages in the United States during the last three decades, we examine the trend of wages in relation to production, we shall have a true picture of the relative pauperization of the American proletariat. If we divide the index of real wages by the index of production, we have the index of the purchasing power of the workers.

Year	Index of purchasing power	Year	Index of purchasing power
1899	100	1922	73
1904	91	1923	68
1909	70	1924	76
1914	70	1925	68
1919	65	1926	68
1920	- 67	1 927	71
1921	91	1928	70

The purchasing power of factory workers in the United States has not increased in proportion to the total product of the factories; it has lagged. The workers position is relatively worse. This is true in spite of real wages having increased from 100 in 1900 to 123.6 in 1928. But in the same period the volume of production imporeased from 100 in 1899 to 283.8 in 1928. The workers lived better, but were more exploited in 1928 than in 1900. To Marx this relative pauperization was only a phase of absolute pauperization. If wages at first only decline relatively to general wealth, they later decline absolutely as the quantity of commodities falling to the worker's share becomes absolutely smaller. This relative worsening of the workers position in the face of absolute improvement, only continues as long as conditions permit sufficient increase in the mass of surplus value to allow sufficient "utilization" of capital. In the final phase of capital iam, the surplus value is insufficient for the maintainence of both previous wage levels and satisfactory "utilization". Therefore, the crisis can now only be overcome by a satisfactory rate of

accumulation and the reestablishment of profits at the cost of the workers. What differentiates the fimal from all previous crises is that with renewed profitable operation the wage level cannot be reestablished, - that the latter will sink permanently im times of "prosperity" as during the crisis. While capital "overcomes" the risis, the workers remain under its sway, and if they refuse to let .nemselves be destroyed, they have no other recouse but the aboliti of the capital ist system.

The level of world industrial production is today below the scale o. 1314. The depression is world-wide. Relative to the high stage of communation, the crisis may vary from country to country, but the t ternational character of the crisis is everywhere perceptible. The sairinking of the domestic market sharpens competition in the world market which likewise shrinks due to protective tariffs. The shrinking of world trade intensifies the crisis by making their economic and financial status more precarious. These events are paralleled by a heavy loss in profits. The condition of bank capital is catastropulcal. The number of unemployed in the United States alone in 1933 was about 16 millions. All this indicates that the present crisis in the United States as everywhere differs from all previous crises by its extent and intensity. It is the greatest orisis in capitalist history; whether it will be the last for capitalism, as well as for the workers, depends on the action of the latter. The "Roosevelt prosperity" in the United States to which the bourgeois press referred as the "end" of the depression was of a very temporary character and did not effect the world orisis at all. Anything the U.S. did gain for a short while was a loss for some other country. The inflationary policy allowed the United States to compete better on the world market, but only as long as the other countries were not ready to hit back, by inflating their own money or find other means of fighting the American competition. Inflation as the means of general wage outting and the elimination of the middle class, as well as the elimination of profit-eating bank capitalists, to a certain extent may spur production because this again becomes profitable for a short while. But this profit is only gained by a pauperization process, not only of relative but of absolute character. It is a "boom" in the death-crisis, a gain that does not indicate development but decay. It shows that we are not at the "end", but only at the beginning of the crisis.

The actual beginning of the present depression in the United States is always connected with the stock market crash, though the latter was the effect rather than the cause of the crisis which had alread begun. As far back as 1927 the "utilization" of capital in the U.S. had become more and more difficult. The falling rate of profit itdicated the over-accumulation. But in spite of that, expansion of industry took place until 1929, but not to such an extent as would have beer mecessary according to the rate of accumulation in pre-vious years, and on the basis of accumulated capital already exist Industrial profits, which could not more fully be reinvested in industry, flowed to the banks. The surplus lay fallow in the banks; deposits in member banks of the Federal Reserve System was by the end of 1927, 17 billions of dollars more than in 1926. While an inorease of 5% was considered normal, this amounted to 8%. Simulta. ecusly, available credit grew. Speculative loans for the stock market and speculatively inflated stock quotations were the result. bringing on the Wall Stree fever of speculation ending in the stock

market crash. But the speculative fever was only the index of the lack of possibilities for sufficient productive investments. As the surplus of capital lewered the rate of interest to I percent, the industrial crisis was followed by a bank crisis.; and in spite of the lew rate of interest, from which the bourgeoise ocenemists expected the turn towards presperity, no credit was demanded by industry. The "Chicago Daily Tribune" writes: "What idle money has piled up in banks had difficulty in finding safe outlets, interest rates dropped but leans and investments did not increase". This situation is not peculiar for the United States, but general throughout the whole world. J.P.Morgan testified at a Senate inquiry: "The depression, for the first time as far as I knew in the history of the world, is so widespread no country can lend money in any other. At the present time there is no demand for capital for industry."

This situation can, nevertheless, only be evercome by further accumulation; i.e.-expansion of the productive apparatus or renewal of the fixed capital on a larger scale. The mass necessary for accumulation is dependent on the previous volume of fixed capital regardless of whether this has only been utilized at half of its capacity, because accumulation is determined by the rate of speed it has previously gained; and this accumulation must take place on a lewer prace level as expansion of production is coupled with a fall in prices. Therefore, if accumulation is to continue, then the expansion of production must lewer the cost of preduction so that the expected mass of profit will compensate for the fall in the rate of profit. For this reasen, "Barrons Weekly" says in its yearly survey: "the extent to which the pressure of accumulating capital may be effective in premoting economic recevery depends on whether the necessary adjustments have been made in other parts of the mechanism - in cost of production and prices, in supply and demand relationship for individual commodities, and in the governmental services, in their coat to the tax payer and their real value to the country; in shert, on whether capital can earn a profit and keep at".

A static system of capitalism is an impossibility; capital must either go forward, i.e.-accumulate, or cellapse. Accumulation presupposes reestablishment of profitable operation; hence we see violent efforts on an international scale to achieve this end. But all previous measures taken to evercome the depth of the present crisis have failed miserably.

As we have said before, the resumption of profitable operation depends on the lowering of the organic composition of capital, or the increase, by ether means, of the surplus value. The devaluation of capital lowers the organic composition. In practice, this means the ruin of many individual capitalists; from the point of view of total capital, from the point of view of the system, it means rejuvenation. The devaluation of capital is a continuous process, an expression of increased productivity of laber, but in the crisis it progresses violently. The increased rate of bankruptoies shows that the devaluation of capital is also taking place today. But bankruptcies, while expressing the speedy and violent devaluation taking place, are not symptems of an intensification of the crisis; up till now they have been aids in overcoming it. In all previous crises, the number and the speedy growth in the number of bankruptoies were connected with a speedier overcoming of the crisis. That today this effect is grane merely proves that accumulation has reached a point where devaluation ceases to be an effective element in evercoming the orisis.

There are not enough bankruptoies, or the devaluation accomplished insufficient to lower the organic composition of capital enough, to make continued profitable accumulation again possible. This fact is cicsely connected with the structural change in capitalism from competition to monopoly capital.

"Classical" capitalism answered a crisis with a general fall in prices that lead to wideapread bankruptoies and forced the survivore to adapt themselves to the new price level by installing of new made inery . The demand of fixed capital felt in some industries caused other industries to be drawn into the boom. But in monopoly, or as Lenin called it, "stagnant" capitalism, the orisis does not have the same rosults. Here we have prolonged condition of huge masses of productive machinery lying idle without being destroyed as the characteristic feature of orisis under monopoly capitalism. The reserve funds of fixed capital created by monopoly capitalism are, in bocm periods, put at the service of production and make the construction of additional enterprises unnecessary, and thereby increase the difficulties of a transition to expanding production. When the crisir comes, production is restricted, and when later the demand increases it is supplied by opening the closed enterprises. In this manner technical progress is hindered by monopoly capitalism, and the marker for means of production narrowed. How small the importance of violen. devaluation of capital is can be seen when one compares the monopolies with the total of socially productive forces. (We have in the United States 37 tire producers; five of them account for 70% of the total production, the other 32 divide the remaining 30% among themselves. In the automobile industry, 75% of the total production is accounted for by two enterprises: General Motors and Ford. Two steel trusts (U.S.Steel and Bethlehem) control 52% of the total steel production. In the meat packing industry 70% of the total production is controlled by four firms: Swift, Armour, Wilson and Cudahy.) In other industries similar situations are found. What effect can the collapse of small enterprises have here? The fusion of capital and the resultant strengthening of monopolies strengthens this tendency towards stagnation and decay, which really means that permanent depression is a characteristic of monopoly capitalism. Even the huge writing down of capital values is only a raid on the small share holders, but not a move towards recovery. It is also clear that a technical revolutio... scrapping huge masses of capital by antiquating them, cannot be expected today since the restriction of productive forces has become a "necessity" of capitalism. To expect an end of depression through devaluation is to pin hope on a still higher form of capitalism than monopoly-capitalism, and that is impossible within the framework of private property in the means of production. (State capitalism is no a higher economic form of monopoly capitalism, but only a different political mask trying to straighten out the maladjustments of class forces, which due to the narrowing down of the ruling class and its retainers under monopoly capitalism needs more direct state interference to maintain class rule.)

To increase the mass of surplus value, the cost of production must betweened. This is attempted through the process of general rationalization; but increased rationalization leads to irrationalization. In time the profits of individual enterprises are increased by its application, but the net income from the total social labor is diminious. Individuals become richer, society poorer. How far this stript of rationalization has gone can be seen by the researches of the

Nev. 1934

technocrats. Rationalization is only effective when the saving in wages made possible is greater than the increased cost of dixed capital made necessary. Rationalization causes the shut-down of many enterprises, and therefore the saving in wages must exceed, not only the increased cost of fixed capital in the rationalized enterprises, but in addition , balance the loss caused by depreciation of fixed capital in idle enterprises. If the costs of fixed capital are inoreased, all enterprises become more sensitive to downward fluctuation of economic activity. Rationalization, therefore, leads to an increase instead of a decrease in cost of production, and thus inoreases the difficulties of overcoming the crisis. By overdeveloping the productive apparatus, rationalization at a high stage of accumulation hastens the collapse of capitalism instead of delaying it. The American productive apparatus was rationalized in the years of prosperity following 1921, and this was one of the causes of the length of that phase. In spite of continued rationalization, the crisis arrived and created a situation which hardly allowed the utilization of 50% of the rationalized enterprises, and thereby annulled the increase in surplus value gained by rationalization. This case of "irrationalization" shows definitely the impossibility of recovery through further rationalization.

Increase in surplus value through shortening the time of capital turnover, likewise finds its objective limits in the development of accumulation. The period of turnover of total capital has been prolonged by the decreased utilization of fixed capital. The same rate of profit for one period of turnover becomes thus a much smaller yearly rate of profit. The fall of prices, though limited by monopoly capitalism, today outweighs the still remaining possibilities of reducing the period of turnovey. Decreasing the stock to raise the rate of profit is limited by the demand for continuity in production and circulation. Outside of this, the action of the crisis causes an increase in the stock of unsold commedities that further decrease the rate of profit both by the cost of storing and by the further causing a fall in prices through forced sales. The net effect is that stock on hand increases, the period of turnever is prolonged, and the rate of profit falls. The increased stock is especially evident in raw materials. The world's supply of raw materials were at the and of 1939 - 192, and 1933 - 265. To reduce them to normal would mean the cessation of world production for months.

The cost of circulation increases due to sharpened competition during the crisis. While the number of workers engaged in production permanently decreases, the number of those in distribution increases. (Advertising expenses alone have lately been over a billion dollars a year in the United States.) This naturally further decreases profits.

In the orisis of 1920 and 1921, 30% of all enterprises in the United States were idle representing approximately a 30 billion dollar investment. If depreciation and maintainance is estimated at 10%, this means a clear loss of three billion dollars or the value of the labor of one and a half million workers. This takes place today on an even larger scale causing a further fall in the rate of profit. As 16 million workers are unemployed in the United States, it becomes necessary for those employed besides compensating for the causes already mentioned, also to produce as much additional surplus value as these workers would have produced if employed, or the mass of profit

will decrease and sufficient accumulation become still more difficult. The decrease in the mass of profit sharpens the struggle for its division. The banks have advanced capital to industrial enterprises during the period of prosperity; credit that was based on prices as they then were, Falling prices "freeze" these credits and cause, first, industrial bankruptoies, and second, bank failures, hastening the process of concentration of capital generally. At the same time, there has been an enormous change in the division of profits between industrial and money capital in favor of the latter. The acuteness of the crisis and the price fall makes the load of debts unbearable for industrial capital. Only a general reduction of decta makes general cankruptoies unnecessary. This is done through inflation which unloads the liquidation of these debts on the workers, the professional middle class, and money capital.

The depth of the crisis is also shown in the vicious attacks of carital on the standard of living of the middle class groups. In spite of increasing expropriation of the middle classes, reducing those catering directly to capitalist consumption, the crisis continues to deepen, nullifying those methods of retaining a greater part of surplus value in the hands of the capitalist class. But after all, these groups could only be eliminated once, and even before this was done, another barrier would have been set up against further expropriation of them by the fact that the continued rule of the capitalist class depends on their existence. And in contradiction to these strenuous efforts to eliminate expenditures for unproductive activity, these expenditures are increasing. The growth in taxation was more rapid than the growth of the national income in the United States. Increasing pauperization causes increasing relief expenditures, and increasing expenditures for the purpose of violent repressions of revolt, and for imperialist designs.

In the present crisis, a fall in the ground rant "has to some extent softened the fall in the rate of profit" but at the cost of raising the threat of agrarian revolt. As a matter of self preservation, it has been necessary for the capitalist class to counteract these tendencies favorably to themselves by allotment plans, agrarian protective tariffs, price subsidies, etc. A sufficient increase of profit by a decrease in ground rent cannot longer be expected.

In this crisis, all forces working towards overcoming it have thus either neutralized each other, or have been insufficient! This even applies to the strongest imperialistic means of recovery:-capital export. During the last years there has practically been no capital exported from the United States. In other imperialist countries, the situation is similar. This has sharpened the competitive struggle for the world market tremendously between all industrial nations. The profit flowing back to the United States from previous capital export in form of interest on foreign investments can neither be invested here or abroad. Simultaneously, the United States makes it impossible for the debtor nations to pay interest by forcing them ou of their markets for means of production. This also makes it impossitle for them to buy raw materials and food stuffs, as they are uralle to sell means of production to pay for them. The end of this development must be either an insoluble, irrational crisis, or a new world scale butchery.

The law of accumulation is the law of collapse of capitalism. A collapse delayed by counteracting tendencies until these tendencies have

spent themselves or become inadequate in face of the growth of capital accumulation. But capitalism does not collapse automatically; the factor of human action, though conditioned, is powerful. The death orisis of capitalism does not mean thatthe system commits suicide. but that the class struggle assumes forms that must lead to the overthrow of the system. There is, as Lenin said, so absolutely hopeless situation for capitalism; it depends on the workers as to now long capitalism will be able to vegetate. The "Communist Manifesto" sounds the alternative: Communism or Barbarism! A static capitalism is impossible; if the accumulation cannot continue, the crisis becomes permanent and the condition of the workers will continually worsen. Such a crisis is barbarism!

Today, half the workers in the great industrial countries are unemployed and the enormous increase of exploitation does not compensate for the smaller number of workers employed; and still there is no other way for capitalism but continuous attacks on the workers. The general, absolute and permanent pauperization of the workers has become an absolute necessity to the existence of capitalist society. Thus, according to Marx, the final and most important consequence of capitalist accumulation and the final reason for every real crisis is the poverty and the misery of the broad masses, in contradiction to the essential driving force of capitalism to develop the productive forces to such an extent that only the absolute consumption possibilities of society be its barrier. Under such conditions, the bourgeoisie can rule no longer , since, as the "Communist Manifesto" pointed out, "it is unfit to rule because it is incompetent to assure an existence to its slaves within his slavery, because it cannot help letting him sink into such a state that it has to feed him, instead of being fed by him".

The analysis of capitalis. accumulation ends, as Marx said in a letter to Engels: "In the class struggle as a finale in which is found the solution of the whele smear!" In the phase of accumulation where the further existence of the system is only based on the absolute pauperization of the workers, the class struggle is transformed. From a struggle over wages, hours and werking conditions or relief, it becomes, even as it fights for those things, a struggle for the overthrow of the capitalist system of production, - a struggle fer proletarian revolution.

THE STRUGGLE AGAINST THE REDUCTION OF UNEMPLOYED RELIEF IN AMSTERDAM. (Frem "Raetekorrespondenz" #4 of the Group of International Communists of Holland.)

In the first days of July, the Dutch Government out the unemployed (oash) relief to an extent which aroused the unemployed to spontaneous demenstrations at the relief stations and on the streets. These demenstrations were at once combatted by the police and the guardsmen in the most brutal manner. In the "Indian District" and the "Jerdaan Workers District" of Amsterdam the unemployed did not allow the police to break their demonstrations up without a fight. They answered the bullets and sabers of the police with the stones they got from the pavement. The struggle lasted many hours. In the evening after the workers left for group meetings which had been hastily arranged, these groups in passing through the streets grew in a very short time to powerful and large demonstrations which the police again tried to break up The workers erected barricades to keep the police out of the streets, as it is impossible to defeat bullets wit stones. The street lights were destroyed in order to make it diffioult for the police to advance. This, which was done in a few street became the situation in the whole "Jordaan District" the next day .At all corners hestify but well erected barricades were seen; the streets were torn up to exclude all fast transportation. On this day the workers succeeded in driving every policeman out of the district which by evening was entirely in the hands of the workers. The unemployed were victorious for this day; but, by twelve o'clock at night they all returned to their homes and the police moved in again without a fight.

Jounuil Correspondence

On the following day the guardsmen took possession of the district. They came with tanks, armored automobiles and machine guns. They arrived in such a strength that the unemployed alone could never be able to fight them successfully. They were not cowardly in not opposing the guardemen because the events of the day before had brought proof that they were good fighters. However, in the face of this strong enemy, a fight would have been suicide.

The struggle had grown out of a relief question. The immediate goal of the fighters was to force the government to recall the relief cut. Workers who want to fight the government at least need the support of very broad layers of the working class. This support was not forthcoming. The majority of the workers will only participate in a movement which embraces their immediate interests. But the fight was merely a fight for unemployed relief; it did net involve the employed workers. Without their support, there was no sense to go farther in the struggle, and it ended in a defeat.

The relief out was an absolute necessity for the Dutch bourgeoisie. The wages of the employed workers had become so low by a series of wage cuts that there was almost no difference any more between the relief and the wages; but before further wage cuts could be made, the relief had now to be out first. The continuation of the profitableness of the capitalistic system made this absolutely necessary. This is why the government answered a simple demonstration of the unemployed in a way in which formerly only revolutionary uprisings would have been answered. It was martial law. This offensive against the unemployed was a challenge against the whole working class. Ther. could only be one answer after the brutal attack of the police and the guardsmen: "The General Strike"; but the trade-unionist traditions made it hardly possible. It is important to note that in factories not controlled by the trade union, the workers walked out in sympathy with the unemployed.

As bitter as the battle was, which the unemployed put up and which spread as a guerilla warfare all over the sity, in a few days the whole thing was crushed. After the defeat of the uprising, the attack against the existing labor organization set in. In all labor organizations, such as press bureaus, orfices, atc., the colice searched for documents, stole the important parts of the printing presses, put many workers in jail, forbade every kind of workers' activity. Although this may hurt the labor organization very much,

40V, 1934

it is not bad at all from the viewpoint of the actual class struggle of the working class. The fighting strength of the workers actually increases through such measures of the ruling class. To forbid the class struggle itself is impossible, but if the bourgeoisie makes the existence of the pseudo-revolutionary organizations impossible, it also removes at the same time an abstacle against the real revolutionizing of the workers. The workers cannot merely be neutralized by the labor fakers; they have to find their own way. Their labor becomes more difficult to be sure, but also more effective. What they now do is to actually fight and not engage in some opportunistic sidetracking of the real issue in the fields of parllamentary fake-success, etc.

The greatest value for the revolutionary movement is the fact that actions of this kind, and the actions of the ruling class which followed them, showed the weaknesses of the present day labor movement in all its ugliness. One stroke of the ruling class sufficed to do away with the Communist Party and all its affiliated organizations. The leadership of the C.P. had not anticipated this, and actually, the bourgeoisic had really no reason to be so hard on this organization which, in spite of all their self-assurance, is only trying to live and prosper inside of the capitalistic system. Even the last number of the Communist Party of Holland's paper, the "Tribune", before it was suppressed, tried to support the system of private property. We read therein regarding the action of the unemplayed ("Tribune" - July 6), "Fight Against Looting and Provocations"

> "When the workers in the Jordan District were fighting a mass battle, some oriminal elements were trying to loot the stores. The workers have nothing in common with these elements. They have to fight them. The workers want the sympathy and the support of many small business men in Jordaan. They, like the workers them-selves, are hit hard by the depression and also by the relief out".

(By the way: The lootings hit the firm "Jamin", a big capitalistic enterprise in foodstuffs .- a chain store,)

The C.P. also advised the workers in order to combat the military onelaught of the ruling class to engage in such silly things as to erganize "school strikes, " "don't pay rent movements", etc.; but not a single word of the only thing which was logically necessary, "The General Strike".

The most important lesson to be drawn from the struggle of the unemployed in Amsterdam is the fact that successful group struggles are no longer possible. The difficulties in which the bourgeoisie finds itself, not only in regard to their diminished profits in this country but in their extended necessity to compete on the world market and thus prepare for the imperialistic actions, forces them to make of the workers not only paupers, but also willing tools in the hands of the ruling class. They are unable to stand even the weakest opposition on the part of the workers, and each demonstration against the policy of the bourgeoisie is translated by the ruling class as a direct menace against its existence.

What took place when the sailors of "De Zeven Provincien" revolted

was repeated with this demonstration of the unemployed. The sailors demonstrates against their misorable condition, but they were treated as if they had started a revolution. So again the "Handelsblaad" of July . writen: "Whosoever is building barricades in the City will be answered as it is the custom to answer when barricades are built".

The brutality with which the bourgeoisie answered even the slightest protest of the workers comes actually as a surprise to these workers. They did not even understand this at first. The sailors of "De Zeven Provincian" locked up to the army planes, and laughing, they never thought that these army planes would drop bombs to destroy the ratels. The workers of Amsterdam did not dream that a mere demonstration would turn the city into a battle field, with military tactics, barricades and killings; but they learn and they learn fast as their action proves. They will soon know that not even the weakest activity will be granted to them; that the ruling class is deadly serious in their determination to kill all actions in favor of the working class.

The periods of "Democracy", of "Parliamentary Humbug", of "Reforms" and of "Legal Action" is definitely over. Now machine guns make history, and realizing this new situation, the workers will also find out that it is absolutely necessary to adopt a class policy, and that success is only possible if the present system is destroyed through proletarian revolution.

THE CLASS STRUGGLE IN SPAIN.

In the middle of September, "La Nacion", a leading bourgeois newspaper in Spain, wrote "that the danger growing out of this situation of strike activities can at this time only be combated by the erection of an open dictatorship". Since then the days were marked by increasing provocations by the government against the labor organizations, as well as the whole working class. Demonstrations, meetings, organizations were forbidden, the press suppressed, elections declared illegal and communist and socialist deputies replaced by reactionary ones. The bourgeois press spoke of a planned revolution, and supported the development of the fascist organizations. All this did not decrease the strike activity, and the consequences in which these strikes were carried through. The economic struggle became at once a struggle against the government, as the government has to assure its capitalists profits. The ruling class is determined to bring, by all means, the activity of the working class to a standstill, and is preparing for an open dictatorship supported by the growing fascist forces.

In the beginning of October, the strike situation changed to civil warfare. October 5th, about 100 deaths were reported, and the days following, this number increased more and more. The government engaged all military means to suppress what they call an uprising of the workers, after they had started this slaught ring of the workers merely for their strike activity. The streets of Madrid and of many of the smaller cities became battlers.los. The hercism of the workers was remarkable in the face of their means wear mean in the troops have been given orders to fire on any suspicious person or manifestation". "All extremists carrying weapons shall be shot!",

ordered the premier. Once more it became clear that a real General Strike of the workers in such a situation is identical with civil

Using this melee, the separatists elements, partly supported by the C.P. slogans of national self-determination, also struck for their special interests. They declared Catalonia temporarily an independent republic, and led the class struggle in this part of Spain on the sidetrack of nationalism.

The issues of the workers were not clear. They were, by their different organizational interests, in a terrible ideological, mess. Anarchists, syndicalists, communists and socialists were forced into one front against the fascisti and the fascist attitude of the government. They still fought in spite of this common enemy for their special group interests. This weakened the strength of the workers and also did away with all hope that out of the struggle would come anything more than a terrible defeat. Only by way of struggle, and especially this kind of struggle, will the workers recognize their true interests which forces them not only to the . Overthrow of this feudal-capitalist combination of exploitation in Spain, but also to the recognition that the enemy must be faced by a working class united on one issue, the issue of communism. The present class struggle can be regarded as evidence that class consciousness nowadays takes on at once concrete form. The workers learn to understand their problems, not merely on a purely ideological basis, but they learn at once by actual practice. The reality is more revolutionary than the ideas of the workers; and so even a defeat of the workers in Spain can indicate nothing more than a temporary one which also carries with it the weapons for certain success in the future.

UPTON SINCLAIR ON THE ROAD TO PASCISM?

Two years ago, in relation to Sinclair and the Eisenstein movie, "Thunder Over Mexico", the oritics already tried to point out that his ideology was of a fascist character. With his attitude towards the outting up of the movie, he had lost his prestige as a socialist and was considered on the road to the class enemy. A good business man, however, is not necessarily also a fascist, and the noise about Sinclair's perversion soon died out.

He ran for governor several times on the Socialist ticket, and now he enters the Democratic Party with his Epic Platform (End Poverty In California) trying to gain as a bourgeois candidate what he had failed to reach as a Socialist. From our point of view, this makes no difference, but for the Socialists it is outright betrayal, and they look now upon their former friend as a traitor, who is hurting the cause of socialism.

Sinclair brought the Democratic Party, as he himself boasts, about 300,000 members in a short time. He received about 400,000 votes and soon started a newspaper which in a few weeks attained a ciroulation of 175,000. He also sold about 300,000 copies of his platform. A very successful man, and we understand, the envy of all labor-fakers without a doubt.

The C.P. consider him the Orange Hitler of California. In one of the latest issues of "New Masses" (which had to take up the matter as Sinclair is a literary figure) he is classed as a full-fledged fascist, and should be treated as such. The "pure" fellows of the "New Masses" are, however, a bit misinformed. During August in Moscow, at the Congress of Russian Revolutionary Writers, lone of the many under organizations of the C.I., a resolution was adopted and sent to Sinolair which says in part: "accept our most hearty and brotherly greetings, because you have always done and you are still doing with heroism your duty as a friend of the working class". We also find Theodore Dreiser, who adheres to the Third International, works directly for Sinclair's campaign fund. Dreiser also got a nice telegram from Moscow. At the time those telegrams were sent, it was positively known, and the Moscow Congress was aware, that Sinclair was already a member of the Democratic Party for more than a half a year and intended to run for governor.

This does not make the slightest difference to the Third International as Sinclair at least entered a party which completed the recognition of Russia by the United States, and which seems to be favorable to help in defending the "fatherland of the workers", in case the bargaining between Russia and Japan should collapse, and if a war becomes necessary to settle the differences between these two nations. In this event, it becomes the duty of American workers to volunteer in the American Army to help "defend the Soviet Union". Sinclair id doing heroically, a little early perhaps, what may eventually be the duty of the whole American working class. But this is not the only reason the Third International likes Sinclair. They know that it is possible for Sinclair to fight in the Democratic Party for the "status quo" of capitalism just as well, if not better, than in their own freakish organization, the C.P., which the Third International is slowly liquidating. Telegrams and warm, brotherly greetings for Sinclair; for the workers in the C.P. the empty phrases against Sinclair in order to use them for reactionary purposes indirectly; a purpose which Sinclair directly serves.

But Sinclair is just a freak. He never had any scientific understanding of capitalism or Socialism. He merely wrote sentimental stories to soften the hearts of millionaires; to make a nice world out of a capitalist world. Meanwhile, he made a good living. Occasionally he entertained the world by exhibiting his immeasureable stupidity, falling for all kinds of medical and social fakers. He never missed a chance to put himself in the spot light; too many years near Hollywood probably accounts for this.

Sinclair wants to bluff the voters of California and the workers also, that he, single-handed, can transform the capitalist system into a Socialist one. All that is necessary are a few new taxation laws. "Sock the rich" by taxes and give to the poor. By means of taxation force the proprietors of land and idle factories to turn them over to the unemployed who will engage on a grand scale selfhelp-movement, a movement which has been repeatedly proven a failure. By this means, too, he promises the farmer and middle-class a lighter tax burden, as the unemployed will provide for themselves. Many of his reformist ideas, old-age pensions, etc., cannot be successfully carried out inside the framework of capitalism, and if some of them are, they will deepen the crisis rather than help to overcome it.

The most important parts of the Epic program have been dropped, however. In order to insure himself the support of the Democratic Party, and in order not to hurt Roosevelt, he has thrown overboard his platform even before he is elected. The state-farms, factories run by the state, old age pension, etc., he dropped; nothing remains but empty phrases. He is willingly and knowingly betraying his voters in order to satisfy his film-star ideology; he cannot sleep well without being mentioned at least once daily in the papers

He claims that he and Roosevelt agree on everything, and that the "New Deal" has advanced to the position of 'Epic'. This is true. Epic has lost its main points and the New Deal is bankrupt. They are both bankrupt before they start working. Where there is nothing, there can be no disagreement; se the Democratic Party adopts Sinclair as its own.

Daily Sinolair becomes more patrictic. He now expresses what he always felt. Ht was formerly more outstanding to play at being a socialist, now there is more fame by showing fascist tendencies. There is no likelihood that he will become a California Hitler, as he is seeking advertisement - not power. He fills the space between the real acts in this historical drama, and when the social forces begin to move, he will probably be disposed of in short order by the real Fascists or pushed aside by a revolutionary Proletariat. The future will not be determined by freaks of his size, but will be determined by the social struggle for power.

HOME COMING. The End of the Trotsky Movement.

A short time back the "Militant" expressed quite a lot of pity for some of the Lovestone group who were trying to find their way back to the Socialist Party. A few weeks ago, too, they became vehement in complaints against "the treacherous Third International" because it started a United Front from the top with the Socialist Parties, with a proposal of merging the two organizations. "The Stalinists are liquidating the Communist Movement", cried the Unser Wort, the Trotsky organ in France. "Down with such a merger. It will weaken, not strengthen the revolutionary movement".

Then came a surprising move; the liquidation of the Trotsky group in France, and the offer of the American Trotskyites to enter the American Workers' Party. Yesterday, the liquidation of independent Communist parties was open betrayal of the revolutionary cause.Today in France, these same people subject themselves to the discipline of the Socialist Party, and in the United States merge with the petty boargeeis American Workers' Party.

These events suit us fine. The common sense displayed by the "leaders" is to be congratulated. It helps clear up the real situation in the labor movement. The revolutionary workers know now by actuality that behind the "revolutionary" slogans nothing else was concealed but the eld labor fakery of the Socialist Party. These are times of stress for "Labor's Leaders". It is dangerous now to hide behind revelutionary slogans. There is the perspective, too, of

the eventual destruction of the old labor movement, and with it the income and prestige of "headers" Faced with this common danger, the petty differences of organizations are forgotten; unity on the basi of "Status Quo" is established, and the professional revolutionists are saved from what they call "The Horrible Reaction of Fasciam". We will be good; no more revolutionary slogans; give us a chance; fascism is not necessary; labor and only labor, under a safe and sane leadership, can oil the troubled sea of economic chaos!

Council Correspondence

"Comrades", calls the National Conference of the Communist League o France, "join us in the ranks of the Socialist Party for the revolutionary struggle of the proletariat. Without renouncing our past and our ideas, but also without any mental reservations of sectarianism. we speak out what is. It is necessary to enter the Socialist Party, not for the sake of exhibitions nor of experiences, but for serious work under the banner of Marxism". J.P.Cannon, in the Militant #39, writes: "Frior to the decision of the conference to take this step, the National Committees of the Communist League of America decided by a majority of eight to one, to recommend this course of the French comrades, despite the great dangers and difficulties involved in such a drastic reorientation. We recommended this course with full confidence that they would remain true to their banner and carry it with them into the Socialist Party".

The above, in plain English, is a command to join the party of accial chauvinists; to join with the murderers of Liebknecht and Luxemburg; to join with the Otto Bauers, the Thomases and Vandervelts. The members of the Communist League in France who could not stomach this "reorientation" were expelled. Do the Trotskyites really believe that this "turn" will speed "social convulsions" and lead to a "radicalization of the masses"?; that in this "revolutionary rise" the Socialist Party will fight for the "Fourth International" and for Communism? Is this why they "orientate" from the "wing" of the Communist Party to follow at the "tail" of the Socialist Party? They don't!! The new orientation of the S.P. is based upon the thesis that the Socialist Movement in Austria and Germany fell because it was too revolutionary; that the S.P. must drop its revolutionary slogans, win the middle class, gain power and in that way make fasciem impossible. The S.P. is offering to perform the task of the fascists themselves, and in this efterprise finds help from the Third International and its "wings", which in reality cannot be distinguished from the "Noske" and "Scheideman's".

We have said that the old labor movement reaches from "Noske" to Trotsky. It has departed only temporary. The departure was only a family fight; now they are united again. The "Left Leadership" of the old labor movement have gone over to the petty bourgecisie. The have come home not to die, but to eat. In order to eat, these fake s offer themselves to the capitalist class as a way out that is bett than fascier. In doing so, they become, in the world of Trotsky: "the party of counter-revolutionary despair. " They prove to the workers by these zigzags that they are cheap demagogues, servants of capitalism, enemies of the revolution who live by betrayal. They prove by deeds that this "Bolshevik Leninist kernal" is as mouldy and wormeaten as the other peas in the pod. They prove that the Socialist Party, Communist Party add Left Opposition have always been afflicted with a capitalist ideology. They prove that they are revolutionists in name, constitutionalists in deed. Thay fight with their flag

unfurled, and must be crushed with the capitalist traditions of yesterday. They are fakers again making history, struggling in a feeble effort to ward off the dialectic movement of reality. Meanwhile, the class struggle deepens - real revolutionists must carry on!

It has come to our notice that the Weisbord group, another "wing" of the Bolsheviks, who have "adhered" to the Trotsky movement internationally while opposing the Left Opposition in America, have repudiated Tretsky and his whole movement on the basis of this new erientation.

REA D:

BOLS HEVISM OR COMMUNISM.

(A pamphlet which foretells the events described in the article above; and analyzes the entire Belshevik movement.)

5 cents per copy - The United Workers' Party.

WORLD WIDE FASCISM OR WORLD REVOLUTION.

(Manifeste and Program of the United Workers' Party)

Table of Contents:

The Permanent Crisis.
"State Capitalism" and a "Planned Economy"
Fascism

The Old Labor Movement
The New Revolutionary Labor Mevement.
10 cents per capy.

JUST OFF THE PRESS, NOV. Let, 1934 -

"WHAT NEXT FOR THE AMERICAN WORKER?"

(A new and popular pamphlet, dealing with present day conditions in the United States, and giving a perspective of what the workers can expect in the future.)

10 cents per copy.

UNITED WORKERS PARTY

1604 North California Avenue

Chicage, Ill.